

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,580	10/06/2003	Glenn H. MacKal	380804.00116 6851		
7	590 01/06/2006		EXAM	INER	
Stefan V. Stein			KEASEL, ERIC S		
Holland & Knight LLP Suite 4100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100 N. Tampa Street			3754		
Tampa, FL 3	3602-3644		DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ϵ
L.
e address
ons of 37 CFR
er the proper
drawn, objected to,
ot contain a
e independent drawings, if any, volved in the plus function under the specification number, and to
w (37 CFR
n appeal (37 CFR
FR
132 or of any vith a appendix
eeding (37 CFR

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Application No. Applicant(s) MACKAL ET A 10/681,580 Art Unit Examiner Eric Keasel 3754

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence

The Appeal Br	iet filed on <u>14</u>	<u>4 November 2005</u>	is defective for f	allure to comp	ly with one or I	more provisions of	f 37 CFR
41.37.						•	

1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if an by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function un 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CF 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	Claims 4, 7, 8, and 10-17 were withdrawn from consideration with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection should have included the cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP §

However, no such action was taken. Appellant must either cancel these claims or petition the restriction requirement. Appropriate action must be taken or the appeal will be dismissed. Appellant has again failed to refer to the drawings and reference characters in the summary of the claimed subject matter. The argument section appears to contain separate arguments for separate claims; however, appellant has again failed to place these arguments under separate headings.

> Eric Keasel **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 3754